Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Mostafa M. Amini,^a Marzieh Yadavi^a and Seik Weng Ng^b*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: seikweng@um.edu.my

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 163 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.008 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.042 wR factor = 0.119 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Aquabis(tetrahydrofuran)hexakis(trifluoroacetato)(μ_3 -oxo)zinc(II)diiron(III)

In the oxo-centered compound, $[ZnFe_2O(O_2CCF_3)_6-(C_4H_8O)_2(H_2O)]$, the central O atom is linked to three metal atoms, which are themselves each linked to four trifluoro-acetate anions and are in a triangular configuration. Two of the metal atoms are each coordinated by a tetrahydrofuran molecule, whereas the third is coordinated by a water molecule. Two $[ZnFe_2O(O_2CCF_3)_6(C_4H_8O)_2(H_2O)]$ units are linked by a pair of hydrogen bonds across a center of inversion to afford a hydrogen-bonded dimer. The compound is isostructural with the Cu^{II}Fe^{III}₂ analog.

Comment

The preceding report on the mixed-metal oxo-cluster carboxylate, $Cu^{II}Fe^{III}_{2}O(O_2CCF_3)_6(C_4H_8O)_2(H_2O)$, described the intended purpose for a crystal structure study of the compound (Amini *et al.*, 2004). Replacing the Cu^{II} atom by another divalent atom, Zn^{II}, gave the isomorpous title compound, (I) (Fig. 1). Pairs of adjacent molecules are linked by hydrogen bonds into a centrosymmetric dimer (Fig. 2). The compound is isostructural with the Cu^{II} analog, and even the occupancies of the three metal atoms refined to Zn:Fe ratios [0.662 (2):0.338 (2), 0.191 (8):0.809 (8), and 0.146 (8):0.854 (8) for sites 1, 2 and 3, respectively] that are almost identical to those for the Cu^{II} compound, giving a preponderance of Zn on the site coordinated by water rather than tetrahydrofuran.



Experimental

The procedure for preparing the compound was adapted from that reported for the synthesis of $[MFe_2O(O_2CCCl_3)(THF)_3]$, where *M* is Mn, Co or Ni (Wang & Yu, 1990). Sodium bicarbonate (4.12 g, 49 mmol) was dissolved in water (45 ml) and this was mixed with trifluoroacetic acid (5.5 g, 48 mmol), ferric nitrate nonahydrate (6.46 g, 16 mmol) dissolved in water (15 ml) and zinc nitrate hexahydrate (2.37 g, 8 mmol) dissolved in water (5 ml). The mixture was stirred for 24 h and then at 333 K for a futher 3 h. The water was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in a mixture of tetrahydrofuran and hexane. The solution was filtered and the solvent removed to give an oily residue. This was treated with hexane to remove the oil; the pure compound was obtained by

 \odot 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 1 March 2004 Accepted 22 March 2004 Online 31 March 2004

metal-organic papers

recrystallization from hexane to which several drops of tetrahydrofuran were added. The red, almost brown, compound melts at 461– 463 K.

Z = 2

 $D_x = 1.877 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Cell parameters from 6516

 $0.40\,\times\,0.40\,\times\,0.08~\mathrm{mm}$

7257 independent reflections

 $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0593P)^{2} + 2.3206P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$

5760 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\mu = 1.59 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 163 (2) K

Plate, red

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.036$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 26.5^{\circ}$

 $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$

 $k = -15 \rightarrow 16$

 $l = -20 \rightarrow 19$

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.70 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.67 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$

 $\theta = 2.5 - 26.4^{\circ}$

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}(\text{C}_2\text{F}_3\text{O}_2)_6(\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})] \\ & M_r = 1033.41 \\ & \text{Triclinic, } P\overline{1} \\ & a = 9.2200 \text{ (6) } \text{\AA} \\ & b = 12.8671 \text{ (9) } \text{\AA} \\ & c = 16.448 \text{ (1) } \text{\AA} \\ & \alpha = 96.233 \text{ (1)}^{\circ} \\ & \beta = 105.756 \text{ (1)}^{\circ} \\ & \gamma = 99.401 \text{ (1)}^{\circ} \\ & V = 1828.6 \text{ (2) } \text{\AA}^3 \end{split}$$

Data collection

Bruker P4/CCD area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.519, T_{max} = 0.883$ 21898 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$
$wR(F^2) = 0.119$
S = 1.03
7257 reflections
581 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of
independent and constrained
refinement

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Zn1-O1	2.069 (3)	Fe2-O11	2.072 (3)
Zn1-O3	2.195 (3)	Fe2-O13	2.104 (3)
Zn1-O5	2.287 (3)	Fe2-O15	1.897 (2)
Zn1-O7	2.027 (3)	Fe3-O2	2.056 (3)
Zn1-O15	1.946 (2)	Fe3-O4	2.010 (3)
Zn1-O1w	2.003 (2)	Fe3-O10	2.052 (3)
Fe2-O6	2.041 (3)	Fe3-O12	2.054 (3)
Fe2-O8	2.021 (3)	Fe3-O14	2.105 (2)
Fe2-O9	2.044 (3)	Fe3-O15	1.893 (2)
01 - 7n1 - 03	88.8 (1)	$08 - Fe^2 - 015$	963(1)
01 - Zn1 - 05	837(1)	$09 - \text{Fe}^2 - 011$	90.3 (1)
01 - Zn1 - 07	167.6(1)	$09 - \text{Fe}^2 - 013$	84 1 (1)
O1 - Zn1 - O15	95.7 (1)	$O_{9} - Fe_{2} - O_{15}$	95.5 (1)
O1 - Zn1 - O1w	84.9 (1)	$O_{11} - Fe_{2} - O_{15}$	95.3 (1)
$O_3 - Z_n 1 - O_5$	168.4(1)	$O_{11} - Fe_{2} - O_{13}$	83.2 (1)
O3-Zn1-O7	94.0 (2)	O13-Fe2-O15	178.5 (1)
O3-Zn1-O15	95.5 (1)	O2-Fe3-O4	89.0 (1)
O3-Zn1-O1w	84.6 (1)	O2-Fe3-O10	90.5 (1)
O5-Zn1-O7	91.6 (1)	O2-Fe3-O12	166.9 (1)
O5-Zn1-O15	94.2 (1)	O2-Fe3-O14	83.3 (1)
O5-Zn1-O1w	85.9 (1)	O2-Fe3-O15	97.2 (1)
O7-Zn1-O15	96.1 (1)	O4-Fe3-O10	167.0 (1)
O7-Zn1-O1w	83.3 (1)	O4-Fe3-O12	86.5 (1)
O15-Zn1-O1w	179.4 (1)	O4-Fe3-O14	84.8 (1)
O6-Fe2-O8	90.9 (1)	O4-Fe3-O15	99.0 (1)
O6-Fe2-O9	88.8 (1)	O10-Fe3-O12	91.2 (1)
O6-Fe2-O11	166.4 (1)	O10-Fe3-O14	82.3 (1)
O6-Fe2-O13	83.2 (1)	O10-Fe3-O15	94.0 (1)
O6-Fe2-O15	98.3 (1)	O12-Fe3-O14	84.0 (1)
O8-Fe2-O9	168.1 (1)	O12-Fe3-O15	95.7 (1)
O8-Fe2-O11	87.2 (1)	O14-Fe3-O15	176.2 (1)
O8-Fe2-O13	84.1 (1)		



Figure 1

ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of (I); displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii. Only one of the disordered components is shown for each CF₃ group. Zn1 shares the same site with Fe1 (not shown), Zn2 with Fe2 (not shown) and Zn3 with Cu3 (not shown); the labels here are in accordance with the predominant metal at each site.



ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of the hydrogen-bonded (I) dimer.

Table 2

Hydrogen-	bonding	geometry	(A, '	°)	1.
-----------	---------	----------	-------	----	----

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{c} O1w - H1w1 \cdots O1^{i} \\ O1w - H1w2 \cdots O5^{i} \end{array}$	0.85(1) 0.84(1)	2.34 (4) 2.04 (2)	2.961 (4) 2.843 (4)	131 (5) 159 (5)
	0.01(1)	2.01(2)	2.015 (1)	155 (.

Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

Initial atomic coordinates were taken from those of the isomorphous Cu^{II}Fe^{III}₂ compound (Amini *et al.*, 2004). The water H atoms were located in a difference map and refined with an O–H restraint of 0.85 (1) Å. The other H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C–H = 0.99 Å), and they were included in the refinement in the riding model approximation; $U_{\rm iso}(\rm H) = 1.2U_{eq}(\rm C)$. Two of the six trifluoromethyl groups are disordered, and the occupancies of the F atoms were refined. For these groups, the C–F distances were

restrained to 1.32 (1) Å and the F···F distances to 2.16 (2) Å. The disordered atoms were restrained to be approximately isotropic. For each group, the six partial F atoms were restrained to be approximately coplanar. The disordered metal site occupancy factors were refined as for the Cu^{II}Fe^{III}₂ analog (Amini *et al.*, 2004).

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*II (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

The authors thank Dr Jan Wikaira of the University of Canterbury for the diffraction measurements, and the Office of the Vice-President's Office for Research Affairs of Shahid Beheshti University and the University of Malaya for supporting this work.

References

Amini, M. M., Yadavi, F. & Ng, S. W. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, m492-m494. Bruker (2001). SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin,

- USA. Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National
- Laboratory, Tennessee, USA. Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Wang, Z.-M. & Yu, X.-F. (1990). Chin. J. Struct. Chem. 9, 14-17.